



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,392	06/20/2003	Hyang Woo Lee	1408.027	8240	
23405	7590 04/28/2004		EXAMINER		
	OTHENBERG FARLEY	& MESITI PC	WARD, EDWARD C		
5 COLUMBI ALBANY, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, .			1654		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,392	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
omee Headin Gammary			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Edward C Ward	vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	appears on the cover shoet.		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a I - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the down will apply and will expire SIX (6) Monthly the cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1 .
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		5
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the state of the above claim(s) is/are with the state of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exame 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) application and application The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement.	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0903. 		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/600,392

Art Unit: 1654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating a subject having a tumor with the instantly claimed compounds, does not reasonably provide enablement for preventing a tumor in said subject. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to preventing a tumor in a patient the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicants have reasonably demonstrated/disclosed that the claimed compound is useful as a therapeutic agent for treating cancer and/or reducing the risk thereof. However, the claim also encompasses using the claimed compound to prevent a tumor, which is clearly beyond the scope of the instantly disclosed/claimed invention. Please note that the term "prevent" is an absolute definition which means to stop from occurring, and thus, requires a higher standard for enablement than does "treating", especially with respect to preventing a tumor from forming (which, is not recognized in the medical art as being a totally preventable condition).

Accordingly, it would undue experimentation without a reasonable expectation of success for one of skill in the art to use the claimed compound as claimed with respect to preventing a tumor. It is suggested that claim 5 be amended so as to omit the term

Application/Control Number: 10/600,392

Art Unit: 1654

"preventing" and instead recite --treating and/or reducing the risk thereof-- to overcome this rejection.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term 'administering is indefinite because it does not disclose to whom, or what the instantly disclosed compounds are to be given – e.g., is the compound being administered to a subject in need thereof, to a bottle, or something else? It is suggested that the phrase —to a subject in need thereof— be appropriately inserted into claim 5 to overcome this rejection.

Conclusion

Claims 1 to 4, and 6 to 11 are allowed because the prior art do not teach nor reasonably suggest the instantly claimed anti-tumor compound

Application/Control Number: 10/600,392

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached at (571) 272-0961.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTOPHER R.TATE
PRIMARY EXAMINER